CHWATUL MOTECTION	
Same Reality	
FLORIDA	

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	UAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DI			
AIRS ID#: 0251224 DATE: 2/	<u>/28/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:20 PM</u>	DEPART	: <u>2:20 PM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SUPERBI	LOCK PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION:	11500 NW 134TH ST				
	MEDLEY 33178-3123				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REP Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: :			PHONE: (305)262-32 Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	50	
Facility Section					
PART I: INSPECTION COM		eck $\mathbf{\nabla}$ only one box)			
	MINOR Non-COMPL	LIANCE SIGN	NIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE	
		LIANCE SIGN	NIFICANT Non-COMP		
PART II: <u>ONSITE INTRODU</u>	CTORY MEETING	LIANCE SIGN	NIFICANT Non-COMP	LIANCE (check 🗹 only one box for each question)	
1. Name(s) of facility representation	CTORY MEETING	LIANCE SIGN	NIFICANT Non-COMP	(check 🗹 only one	
 Name(s) of facility representa Brief Notes: 	CTORY MEETING ative(s): <u>IVAN</u>			(check ☑ only one box for each question)	
1. Name(s) of facility representation	CTORY MEETING ative(s): <u>IVAN</u> tive still AGUSTIN MIJAR			(check 🗹 only one	
 Name(s) of facility representa Brief Notes: Is the Authorized Representation 	CTORY MEETING ative(s): IVAN tive still AGUSTIN MIJAR REZ rovide an administrative upo	RES? date within 30 days? -		(check ☑ only one box for each question) □ Yes ☑No - □ Yes □No	

<u>1-One (1) Dust Collector on top of West Cement silo subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ☑ box for each	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/1/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	🗍 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check only one box for each question)				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconf emissions by:	ined			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to 	Xes	No		
control emissions?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	. —	No		
particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	∐ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 		D No No		

2 - CCB Plant-block mfg, split South silo(cement), w/silotop DC subject to Reasonable Precautions				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/1/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
<u>PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.</u> <u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfinemissions by: 	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	- Xes	□ No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	🛛 Yes	No No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🛛 Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	D No No		

<u>3 – CCB Plant-block mfg, split North silo (cement), w/silotop DC subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>				
PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/1/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	- 🗌 Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards	(check 🗹 box for each e	only one question)		
 Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by: 	ned			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 	- 🛛 Yes	 No No No 		
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	—	□ No □ No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? 		□ No □ No		

c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?

4 – CCB Plant-central dust collector and Batcher dust Collector subject to Reasonable Precautions				
	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)		
 Date of last inspection: <u>4/1/2010</u> Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? X/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes	☐ No ⊠ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C. (check ☑ only one box for each question) Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards (check ☑				
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfin emissions by:	ed			
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions? 	Yes Yes	□ No		
 3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	- 🛛 Yes	□ No □ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Xes Yes	🗌 No		
 2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)? 	Yes Yes	□ No □ No		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C</u> (ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY		
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? Yes b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? Yes c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant? Yes	1	No No No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception of units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)? Yes If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?	ז 🔀	No
	 b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility? Yes If YES, what other general permit units or activities? 	1 🔀	No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? Yes b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? Yes c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? Yes d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? Yes e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)? Yes		No No No No No
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consumption for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years? Yes]]	No

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control			
	devices?	\square	Yes	🖂 No
2.	Does the owner or operator:			_
	a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
	b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all			_
	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	\boxtimes	Yes	🗌 No
3.				
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general			
	permit and Department rules?	\bowtie	Yes	No No

RELOCATABLE PLANT: 1. Is the facility: stationary 🛛; relocatable □; or consisting of both stationary and relocatable □	(check ☑ box for each	•
concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (If only stationary, skip the follows	ing question 2.)	1
 2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone,		_
e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location?		∐ No
 b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following a relocation? - c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900 	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five business days prior to relocation		🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation per	ermit,	
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:		
a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpose (i.e, there is no repeated usage If YES, what was the purpose?	ge)? Yes	∐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it was		_
co-located at the permitted facility?	[] Yes	
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?	res	∐ No
CHANGES	(check 🗹	only one
A derivisitentium Changes	box for each	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the facility or authorized represen	tative not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of the facility or any emissions u		
operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? -	🗌 Yes	🛛 No

operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor administrative change at the facility? ---- Yes 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of the change? ------ 🗌 Yes

a. Installation of any new process equipment? ------ Yes

b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? ------

c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substantially different? ------ Yes

	d. A change in ownership?] Yes
4.	. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration form and the appropriate fee submitte 30 days prior to the change?	ed] Yes

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been

2/28/2011

Date of Inspection

2/2012

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON FROM ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES PERFORMED FIVE (5) VE TESTS ON TWO SILOS (ONE SPLIT SILO), CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR AND THE BATCHER DUST COLLECTOR. THE SILOS WERE LOADED AT 10 PSI. I WITNESSED THREE (3) VE TESTS ON THE TWO SILOS. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE EMISSIONS DURING THE VE TESTS. ANTONIO MAZPULE, THE FACILITY'S ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTANT WAS PRESENT DURING THE VE TESTS.

I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY. THE FACILITY'S YARD IS PAVED.

No No

🖂 No

🛛 No

No No

No No

 \boxtimes No